APPLICATION CHECKLIST:				NO	N/A
	1. 2. 3. 4.	Is the UEI# provided? Is the grant amount at or below the allowable amounts? Is the CEO signature on the Federal Assurance page? If a joint application, is the Assurance page for each entity			
		included?			
	5.	Is HUD Disclosure Form 2880 completed and signed?			
APPLICATION REVIEW:			YES	NO	
	6.	Does the project meet the national objectives of assisting Low-to-Moderate Income (LMI) Households?			
	7.	Are the proposed number of rental units at or below the 7-unit threshold?			
		Are at least 51% of the units proposed to be CDBG assisted? Is there approval by the local government?			
	10.	Is the proposed rental property an upper story project in an existing downtown?			
	11.	Has the grant administrator dealt with previous/existing contracts in a timely manner?			
		Is the application complete and accurate? Does the application demonstrate this to be a viable project?			
	13.	boes the application demonstrate this to be a viable project!			
PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE/MINUTES:			YES	NO	
	14.	Is the publication date no less than 4 days but no more than 20 days prior to the hearing?			
		Are public hearing minutes uploaded and do they include the hearing date?			
		Do the minutes include how the proposed activity will be funded and the sources of funds?			
		Do the minutes include the date the application will be submitted?			
		Do the minutes include the amount of federal funds requested? Do the minutes include the estimated portion of federal funds that will benefit persons of low to moderate income?			
	20.	Do the minutes list the plans to minimize displacement of persons and businesses as a result of funded activities?			
		Do the minutes list plans to assist persons actually displaced?			
		Do the minutes list the nature of the proposed activity or project?			
	23.	Is the community needs assessment less than a year old and Included and adopted at a Public Hearing for this project?			

BUDGET	YES	NO	
24. Does the project budget add up correctly?25. Are computations in the Project Budget Chart, correct? (Cross-Check Total Budget with Sources of Funding)26. Is the Administrative amount 10% or less of the CDBG amount or Total Costs?			
ACTIVITY INFORMATION		NO	N/A
27. Will the activity be conducted outside the 100-year floodplain?			
a. If no, was a LOMA letter provided?			
 28. Are proposed rents correct for CDBG assisted units? 29. Is the property zoned properly for this project? 30. If the unit is to rehab more than 4 units, will broadband be installed? 31. If broadband is not going to be installed on a project with more than 4 units, has an explanation justifying why been given? 32. If applicable, is there documentation of need (market study)? 33. Does the Grant Administrator and Developer/Property Owner agree to comply with the five (5) year Period of Affordability? 34. With conversion, is there availability of certified lead professionals? 			
REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS:	YES	NO	
35. Have all documents under the "Required Uploads" been uploaded?			
36. Is the environmental review complete and ready for publication? 37. Were the special review requirements, floodplain location, above			
ground storage tanks within less that the Acceptable Safe Distance from the project, and Excessive Noise above 75dB included in the			
Environmental Review? 38. Does the applicant demonstrate site control?			

RANKING QUESTIONS

36.	Need for the project	(1 to 5 points)			
	(Based on the following)				
	What is the community's current vacancy rate?				
	Did the applicant adequately address the need for upper stocommunity?	ory housing in the			
	Does the community have a current housing needs assessmental units as a need in the community? (Current= complete	, ,			
37.	Project Readiness	(1 to 5 points)			
	(Based on the following)				
	Has the historic district evaluation been completed?				
	Does the developer own the property at time of application (vs. an option)?				
	Is the property free of debris/trash to allow for conversion work?				
	Does the application include detailed draft designs?				
38.	Status of project matching funds- level of commitment.	(2 to 5 Points)			
	Developer has provided an executed loan agreement from a lender OR has provided documentation of cash available an unencumbered to invest in the project-				
	Developer has provided a commitment letter from a bank that includes loan terms/ no executed agreement-	3 points			
	Developer has provided matching funds through a term lett (letter does not include loan terms/ No executed agreement				
39.	Degree CDBG funds will be leveraged by other funds.	(1 to 5 Points)			
	Over 75% of project financed with non- CDBG funds-	5 points			
	66-75% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	4 points			
	56-65% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	3 points			
	50-55% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	2 points			

	< 50% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	1 point			
40.	Capacity to complete and maintain the project	(1 to 5 points)			
	(Based on the following)				
	Does the developer have previous experience with similar projects?				
	Does the development team include members with experthe project is completed?	ience and capacity to ensure			
	Is the project timeline for the project reasonable and atta projects?	inable, as compared to similar			
	Is the operation/ maintenance plan for the property suffice	cient?			
41.	Financial feasibility	(1 to 5 points)			
	(Based on the following)				
	Debt service ratio in year 1				
	Debt service ratio in year 15				
	Are the operating expenses included in the proforma reas projects?	sonable as compared to similar			
	Is the developer fee included reasonable? Developer fee:	%			
42.	% of LMI units	(2 to 5 Points)			
	71% or more units for LMI-	5 points			
	52-70% of units for LMI-	3 points			
	51% of units for LMI-	2 points			
43.	Is the project in a designated Federal Opportunity Zone?	(0 to 3 Points)			
	Yes	3 points			
	No	0 points			

44. Green Streets Criteria

(0 to 2 Points) _____

(OPTIONAL/Required ONLY If Additional Green Streets Funding Is Requested)

Score >75% on IEDA's Green Streets Review – 2 Points

Score 50 – 75% on IEDA's Green Streets Review - 1 Point

Score <50% on IEDA's Green Streets Review - 0 Points

*TOTAL SCORING POSSIBLE IS 38 POINTS (WITH AN OPTION FOR 40 WITH GREEN STREETS FUNDING)

PROGRAM MANAGER'S COMMENTS:

DECLINE OR APPROVE: