APPLICATION CHECKLIST:		YES	NO	N/A
1. 2. 3. 4.	Is the DUNS# provided? Is the grant amount at or below the allowable amounts? Is the CEO signature on the Federal Assurance page? If a joint application, is the Assurance page for each entity included?			
5.	Is HUD Disclosure Form 2880 completed and signed?			
APPLI	CATION REVIEW:	YES	NO	
<b>7</b> . 8. 9.	Does the project meet the national objectives of assisting Low-to-Moderate Income (LMI) Households? Are the proposed number of rental units at or below the 7-unit threshold? Are at least 51% of the units proposed to be CDBG assisted? Is there approval by the local government? Is the proposed rental property an upper story project in an existing downtown?			
PUBL	C HEARING NOTICE/MINUTES:	YES	NO	
	Is the publication date no less than 4 days but no more than 20 days prior to the hearing?  Are public hearing minutes uploaded and do they include the hearing date?			
14 15	Do the minutes include how the proposed activity will be funded and the sources of funds?  Do the minutes include the date the application will be submitted?  Do the minutes include the amount of federal funds requested?  Do the minutes include the estimated portion of federal funds that benefit persons of low to moderate income?	  will		
18 19	Do the minutes list the plans to minimize displacement of persons a businesses as a result of funded activities?  Do the minutes list plans to assist persons actually displaced?  Do the minutes list the nature of the proposed activity or project?  Is the community needs assessment less than a year old and include and adopted at a Public Hearing for this project?			

BUDG	SET	YES	NO			
22	<ul> <li>. Does the project budget add up correctly?</li> <li>. Are computations in the Project Budget Chart, correct? (Cross-Check Total Budget with Sources of Funding)</li> <li>. Is the Administrative amount 10% or less of the CDBG amount or To Costs?</li> </ul>	  otal				
ACTI\	/ITY INFORMATION	YES	NO	N/A		
24	. Is the activity in a 100-year floodplain?					
25 26 27	<ul> <li>a. If yes. Was LOMA letter provided?</li> <li>5 Are proposed rents correct for CDBG assisted units?</li> <li>. Is the property zoned properly for this project?</li> <li>. If applicable, is there documentation of need (market study)?</li> <li>. Does the Grant Administrator and Developer/Property Owner agree to comply with the five (5) year Period of Affordability?</li> <li>. With conversion, is there availability of certified lead professionals?</li> </ul>					
REQL	JIRED ATTACHMENTS:	YES	NO			
30 31	Have all documents under the "Required Uploads" been uploaded? Is the environmental review complete and ready for publication? Were the special review requirements as stated in the Program Guidance included in the Environmental Review? Does the applicant demonstrate site control?					
RANK	ING QUESTIONS					
33.	Need for the project	(1 to 5 points)				
	(Based on the following)					
	What is the community's current vacancy rate?					
	Did the applicant adequately address the need for upper story housing in the community?					
	Does the community have a current housing needs assessment identifying increased rental units as a need in the community? (Current= completed within the last year)					
34	Project Readiness	(1 to 5	points)			
	(Based on the following)					
	Has the historic district evaluation been completed?					

Does the developer own the property at time of application (vs. an option)?

	Is the property free of debris/trash to allow for conversion work?			
	Does the application include detailed draft designs?			
35.	Status of project matching funds- level of commitment.	(2 to 5 Points)		
	Developer has provided an executed loan agreement from a lender OR has provided documentation of cash available and unencumbered to invest in the project-	5 points		
	Developer has provided a commitment letter from a bank that includes loan terms/ no executed agreement-	3 points		
	Developer has provided matching funds through a term letter (letter does not include loan terms/ No executed agreements)	2 points		
36.	Degree CDBG funds will be leveraged by other funds.	(1 to 5 Points)		
	Over 75% of project financed with non- CDBG funds-	5 points		
	66-75% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	4 points		
	56-65% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	3 points		
	50-55% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	2 points		
	< 50% of project financed with non-CDBG funds-	1 point		
37.	Capacity to complete and maintain the project	(1 to 5 points)		
	(Based on the following)			
	Does the developer have previous experience with similar projects?			
	Does the development team include members with experience and capacity to ensure the project is completed?			
	Is the project timeline for the project reasonable and attainable, as compared to similar projects?			
	Is the operation/ maintenance plan for the property sufficient?			
38.	Financial feasibility	(1 to 5 points)		
	(Based on the following)			

	Debt service ratio in year 1				
	Debt service ratio in year 15				
	Are the operating expenses included in the proforma reason projects?	nable as compared to similar			
	Is the developer fee included reasonable? Developer fee: _	%			
39.	% of LMI units	(2 to 5 Points)			
	71% or more units for LMI-	5 points			
	52-70% of units for LMI-	3 points			
	51% of units for LMI-	2 points			
40.	Is the project in a designated Federal Opportunity Zone?	(0 to 3 Points)			
	Yes	3 points			
	No	0 points			
41.	Green Streets Criteria	(0 to 2 Points)			
	(OPTIONAL/Required ONLY If Additional Green Streets Funding Is Requested)				
	Score >75% on IEDA's Green Streets Review –	2 Points			
	Score 50 – 75% on IEDA's Green Streets Review -	1 Point			
	Score <50% on IEDA's Green Streets Review -	0 Points			
*TOTAL SCORING POSSIBLE IS 38 POINTS (WITH AN OPTION FOR 40 WITH GREEN STREETS FUNDING)					
PROGRAM MANAGER'S COMMENTS:					
DECLINE OR APPROVE:					