# **Red Tape Review Rule Report**

(Due: September 1, 2023)

Department	City	Date:	8/31/2023	Total Rule	4
Name:	Development			Count:	
	Board				
	263	Chapter/	Chapter 11	Iowa Code	368.10, 1991
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	Iowa Acts,
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	House File
				Rule:	182
Contact	Lisa Connell	Email:	lisa.connell@iowaeda.com	Phone:	515-348-6163
Name:					

### PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What	is the	intended	henefit	of the	rule?
vviiat	13 1116	IIILEIIUEU	Dellell	OI LIIE	I UIE:

To describe the process by which islands (as defined in Iowa Code section 368.1(10)) were identified	d by
county boards of supervisors and annexed to surrounding cities by the city development board.	

#### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The city development board was granted authority to annex islands to surrounding cities that were identified prior to January 15, 1992 by 1991 Iowa Acts, House File 182. The legislation also prevented the creation of additional islands after the effective date of the act. The chapter is therefore obsolete.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?
None.
What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?
None.
Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.
No costs are currently imposed by the rule.
And the real land marketing altermations to accomplish the honefit? Type NO

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\square$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The chapter is obsolete and has no current impact on city development board action.	

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un-
necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list
chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

## PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

	Yes, the chapter as a whole is obsolete.					
	RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):					
	263.11.1 through 263.11.4					
	*RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):					
	None.					
	*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with sugge available.	sted changes, if				
METRICS						
	Total number of rules repealed:	4				
	Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1829 words				

#### ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation 34

No.